Plaintiff's *ex parte* motion for maritime attachment and garnishment asks the Court, without supporting authority, to direct the United States Marshal to execute any order for maritime attachment and garnishment. Docket No. 3 at 4. Plaintiff's *ex parte* motion to appoint a special process server, however, states that "there is no need to require that service be effected by a U.S. Marshal," and asks the Court to appoint an employee of Plaintiff's counsel's firm as special process server. Docket No. 5 at 2.

Case 2:16-cv-02884 Document 14 Filed 12/21/16 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** Plaintiff to file, no later than December 22, 2016, a clarification as to the specific relief it seeks, along with supporting authority. If Plaintiff does indeed request the appointment of a special process server, Plaintiff shall identify a specific individual to be appointed as special process server.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 21, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge